| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/602,349  | GEE ET AL.   |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | William M. Treat  | 2181   |
| All Participants: Status of Application:  |   |  |
| (1) William M. Treat.   | (3)   |  |
| (2) William W. Schaal.  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 3 February 2006  | Time:   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | cant's representative)  |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none  |   |  |
| Claims discussed:<br>1-54   |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE<br>The examiner discussed a restriction of applicants' claims as se<br>agreed to the restriction as set forth in the accompanying action   | et forth in the accompanying action.                                |  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a writte<br>record of the substance of the | in summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |   |  |
| •   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican  | t/Applicant's Representative Sig                                    | gnature – if appropriate)                                  |